

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

ENITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Enited States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOC:KET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/766,154	01/28/2004	Kai Xu	BW-DKT03146	3413	
32175	7590 05/25/2005		EXAMINER		
BORGWARNER INC. 3850 HAMLIN ROAD AUBURN HILLS, MI 48326			JENKINS. DANIEL J		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1742	1742	
			TATE MAIL CD: 05/25/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary		10/766,154	XU ET AL.			
		Examiner	Art Unit			
		Daniel J. Jenkins	1742			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with th	e correspondence address			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failt Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the provision of the p	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be y within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS fr , cause the application to become ABANDC	e timely filed  days will be considered timely.  om the mailing date of this communication.  NED (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status						
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 January 2005.					
2a)□	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.					
3)□	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposit	ion of Claims					
5) <u>□</u> 6)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.				
Applicat	ion Papers					
9)[	The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.				
10)[_]	☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).					
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).  11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.					
Priority (	under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
a)(	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign  All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document  2. Certified copies of the priority document  3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document  application from the International Bureau  See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applic rity documents have been rece u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ation No ived in this National Stage			
Attachmen	t(s)					
1) Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summa				
3) 🛛 Infori	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date <u>4/14/05</u> .	Paper No(s)/Mail				

Application/Control Number: 10/766,154 Page 2

Art Unit: 1742

1. The Examiner has carefully considered Applicant's Response of 1/31/05. The Examiner finds that Kosco '747 further teaches the tempering step and adds that limitation to the rejection. However, the Examiner has replaced the Sonti et al. reference with Graupner et al. to improve the Examiner's position that grinding is a known step in the art, and the Action is accordingly not made final. Additionally, the Examiner adds the reference by Kempe et al. to show the amended limitation to the formation of a groove in the teeth.

- 2. The text of those sections of Title 35, U.S. Code not included in this action can be found in a prior Office action.
- 3. Claims 1-23 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kosco '747 in view of Baran et al. and Graupner et al. and Kempe et al. Kosco discloses a method of forming a part comprising:

providing a metal powder comprising:

0.3 to 0.8% carbon (col. 3, line 2);

up to 2% nickel (col. 5, line 52); up to 2% molybdenum (col. 5, line 50); up to 0.7% manganese (col. 5, line 51); and

up to about 4% chromium (col. 5, lines 51-52);

compressing the metal powder at 20-70 tsi to form a green compact (col. 7, lines 34-41);

heating the green compact at a temperature of 2000°F to 2400°F for 25-30 minutes for a sufficient time to ensure homogeneous alloying (col. 7, lines 41-58); forming a densified portion of the heated green compact (col. 8, lines 35-59); heating the densified compact in the range of 2050°F to 2400°F for at least 20 minutes (col. 8, lines 60-64); and

cooling the compact under rates and times to form tempered martensite (col. 8, line 60 to col. 9, line 16).

Kosco further discloses wherein the forming a densified portion includes hot forming at a temperature of 1800°F for 3 minutes (see Example 2), in the temperature limitation of Applicant's step d) but for less time. The Examiner finding that the time limitation of a small part such as a race would lead to total heating of the part, resulting in the same desired effects as claimed.

Kosco thus differs from the claimed invention by the alloy additions and the full range of various temperature treatments.

Kosco is silent as to other claimed alloy additions including Si, but states that known alloy additions can be added to his metal powder composition (col. 5, lines 31-67).

Baran et al. teaches to add allow additions to steel including less than 1% Si (pp 1-2) in order to improve the corrosion resistance and phase stability.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to add Si as taught by Baran et al. to the steel composition of Kosco in order to improve corrosion resistance and phase stability of the steel of Kosco.

Art Unit: 1742

Kosco further discloses heating parameters selected for the same purposes as disclosed by Applicant, and would be modified by one of ordinary skill based on the selection of the initial starting alloy in order to achieve the same utility as the disclosed invention.

Kosco '747 further discloses wherein the first cooling step is performed so as to not harden the microstructure, leaving a non-martensitic microstructure of one or more of Pearlite, Ferrite + Pearlite, or Bainite microstructure depending upon where in the cooling range cooling is performed, leaving a hardness of about 6.2 to 7.2 g/cc (col. 7, line 59 to col. 8, line 7.

However, Kosco '747 is silent as to grinding as a working step.

Graupner et al. teaches at col. 5, lines 2-6, that grinding can be performed on formed powder metal gears after a heating step for the purpose of achieving a desired level of accuracy of formation.

It would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to incorporate grinding into the working operation of Kosco '747 after sintering as taught by Graupner et al. in order to improve accuracy of the formed gear. The Examiner finds that the grinding would not be added later in the process, which would defeat the hardening of the formed article.

Additionally, Kempe et al. teaches that known gear geometry includes grooves between rows of teeth, in the same field of endeavor, the finishing of said rows to final tolerance performed by the disclosed process steps.

Art Unit: 1742

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daniel J. Jenkins whose telephone number is 571-272-1242. The examiner can normally be reached on M-TH6:30AM-5:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Roy King can be reached on 571-272-1242. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Daniel J. Jenkins Primary Examiner Art Unit 1742